

Asian Journal of Green Chemistry

Journal homepage: www.ajgreenchem.com



Original Research Article

Bioactive principle loaded gold nanoparticles as potent anti-melanoma agent: green synthesis, characterization, and in *vitro* bioefficacy



Saurabh Yadav^a, Mukti Sharma^a, Narayan Ganesh^b, Shalini Srivastava^a, Man Mohan Srivastava^{a,*}

^a Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Dayalbagh Educational Institute, Dayalbagh, Agra-282005, India

^b Jawaharlal Nehru Cancer Hospital & Research Center, Bhopal-462001, India

ARTICLE INFORMATION

Received: 3 October 2018 Received in revised: 3 November 2018 Accepted: 3 November 2018 Available online: 22 January 2019

DOI: 10.33945/SAMI/AJGC.2019.4.6

KEYWORDS

Madhuca longifolia Anti-melanoma bioefficacy Bioactive principle Enhancement in bioefficacy F@AuNp

ABSTRACT

This work discusses the presence of significant anti-melanoma bioefficacy in the native bark ethanolic extract (65.15%) of the plant Madhuca longifolia. A family of seven flavonoids was ascertained in the bark ethanolic extract of the using HPLC-ESI-QTOF-MS analysis target plant as bioactive constituents. Statistically, significant (p<0.05) enhancement in the antimelanoma bioefficacy (85.15%) was successfully attempted, reaching near to the level of reference (Cyclophosphamide drug) using bioactive principle (flavonoid) loaded gold nanoparticles (F@AuNp). In vitro anti-melanoma bioefficacy was measured against two melanoma cell lines (B16F10 and A375) using MTT and SRB bioassays. The native bark extract and F@AuNp did not show any toxicity towards the normal lymphocyte cells, highlighting their safe and non-toxic nature. The pathway of observed antimelanoma efficacy of (F@AuNp) was discussed based on our experimental findings on percent inhibition in mice and human melanoma cell lines, production of intracellular reactive oxygen species, the release of nitric oxide, and increase caspase-3 activities. The native bark extract of the plant M. longifolia and its bioactive principle loaded gold nanoparticles possess bright prospects for the development of complimentary herbal nanomedicine for scaling-up the anti-melanoma bioefficacy.

 $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ 2019 by SPC (Sami Publishing Company), Asian Journal of Green Chemistry, Reproduction is permitted for noncommercial purposes.



Introduction

World health organization has reported that 1,32,000 new cases are being diagnosed worldwide every year [1, 2]. Majority of skin cancers are basal cell carcinoma, squamous cell carcinoma, and malignant melanoma [3, 4]. The incidence of melanoma is normally caused by ultraviolet radiations from sunlight triggering mutations to malignant tumours through unrepaired DNA damage [5]. Melanoma is a common skin cancer if recognized at an early stage and almost curable, otherwise it will become hard to tackle. Surgery, chemotherapy, and radiotherapy methods are used for treating the skin cancer. These methods are not only expensive but also may results in several side effects and drug resistance [6]. The resistance against conventional drugs heavily hampers the therapeutic efficiency, resulting in a high repetition rate of therapeutic failure [7]. It necessities the development of alternative effective treatments. Recently, the use of medicinal plants has gained considerable attention [8–10].

Medicinal plants are the rich source of bioactive compounds used in modern medicines as nutraceuticals, food supplements, pharmaceutical intermediates, and template molecule [11]. More than 60% of the anti-cancerous drugs are made of plant origin [12]. The plant-based anti-cancerous drugs incorporate vinblastine, vincristine, topotecane, and etoposide. However, such bio-molecules

have shown limited bioefficacy. Research efforts [13–15] are made to meet out such objectives committing synthetic modifications on the plant bioactive functional groups [16–18]. Recently, the plant-based nanomaterials have been widely used for improving the bioactive functionalities [19, 20]. The herbal moieties are more biocompatible compared with that of the conventional therapeutic carriers [21, 22]. Nanobiotechnology can be seen in future of site-specific tumour therapy, radiotherapy, gene therapy, and chemotherapy. Looking at the speed of advancement of nanomedicine, particularly the utilization of various nanoparticles in prevention, diagnosis, and treatment of a complex disease like cancer, one can predict that this innovative technology will be on our doorstep soon. This enthusiasm has motivated the research trend towards the loading of bioactive principle on to noble metal nanoparticles to be used in cancer therapy.

The present communication, for the first time, has explored the presence of anti-melanoma bioefficacy in the bark ethanolic extract of the plant *M. longifolia*, and as bioactive components (A family of seven flavonoids). Another focus of the work is towards the enhancement in the anti-melanoma bioefficacy of native bark extract using bioactive principle (Flavonoids) loaded gold nanoparticles (F@AuNp). Biofabrication of F@AuNp is carried out in a single step green process followed by its exhaustive characterization. In *vitro* bioassays (MTT and SRB) are carried out on normal lymphocytes and two melanoma (mice; B16F10 and human; A375) cell lines for exploring any toxicity for the human being and anti-melanoma bioefficacy against cell lines. *Madhuca longifolia* (Mahua), one of the most important wild Indian forest plant (Sapotaceae family) has been highly popular as folk remedies [23–25]. Its pharmacological applications as the tribal remedy for skin problems such as itching, swelling, and inflammation has motivated us to explore its anti-melanoma bioefficacy.

Experimental

Collection, authentication, and microwave-ultrasound assisted extraction

The fresh bark of plant *M. longifolia* of the single population was collected from the village Rajaborari (Madhya Pradesh), India. The authentication of the plant material was carried out by the Taxonomy Division, Department of Botany, Dayalbagh Educational Institute, Dayalbagh, Agra, India, where a sample was deposited with the voucher specimen number DEI/DB/DH/2015-073. The bark portion was cleaned, dried, and then powdered. Microwave-assisted extraction of the bark was carried out in an aqueous alcoholic solution at 200 W for 5 min. The extract was subjected to an ultrasonic bath for 30 min at room temperature. The extract was concentrated by rota-vapour distillation and finally dried by the purging nitrogen.

Isolation and characterization of bioactive principle (flavonoids)

Dried fraction (10 gm) was subjected to column chromatographic separation [Length 120 cm; diameter 4 cm; mesh 60; stationary phase; silica gel (125 gm)] and eluted with $CH_3OH/CHCl_3$ (3:7). After the removal of solvent, a brown mass was obtained. The brown mass fraction was subjected to HPLC-ESI-QTOF-MS mass spectra to characterize the flavonoidal compounds. HPLC-ESI-QTOF-MS analysis was performed on quadrupole time-of-flight (QTOF) mass spectrometer connected with the Agilent 1200-HPLC system *via* dual electrospray ionization interface (Agilent Technologies, USA). HPLC separation was carried out using a poroshell 120 EC-C18 column (50 mm× 4.6 mm, 2.7 μ). The mobile phase consisted of 0.1% formic acid solution (A) and methanol (C) with flow rate of 0.5 mL/min under the gradient program of 30 to 90% (C) for initial 10 min, then 90% (C) from 10 to 20 min, 90 to 30% (C) from 20 to 25 min, 30% (C) from 25 to 30 min. Mass spectrometric analysis was performed in positive ESI mode. The resolving power of the QTOF analyzer was set above 10,000 (FWHM). The spectra were acquired within a mass range of *m/z* 100-1500. Capillary temperature was set at 350 °C, N₂ nebulizer pressure of 40 psi, and gas flow rate of 10 L/min.

Biofabrication and characterization of F@AuNp

The optimized experimental conditions of the biofabrication of gold nanoparticles were as follows: flavonoidal content (1 mL, 0.5 mg/mL), sodium tetrachloroaurate dihydrate solution (2 mL, 1 mM) and sonication (15 min, 20 KHz) at pH 4. The optical properties (UV-vis spectrophotometer, Lab India, India), particles size and zeta potential (Zetasizer, nano ZS90 model Malvern, Germany), miller indices (XRD, Bruker AXS D8 advance, Germany), morphology (FE-SEM, Nova Nano FE-SEM 450, Netherland), surface roughness (AFM, nanosurf easyscan V 1.8, Switzerland), and elemental composition (EDX, tecnai G2 T 20 ST, Germany) of F@AuNp were evaluated.

Cell culture

Mice melanoma (B16F10) and human melanoma (A375) cell lines

The mice melanoma (B16F10) and human melanoma (A375) cell lines were purchased from the National Centre for Cell Science, Pune, India, and grown in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's Medium containing antibiotics, *L*-glutamine (2 mM), fetal bovine serum (10%). Cells were kept at 37 °C, with the relative humidity of 100%, CO_2 (5%), and air (95%). The culture medium was changed thrice in a week. The single cell suspension was made using the trypsin-ethylene diamine tetra acetic acid. The cell suspension was diluted with media containing FBS (5%) to obtain the final density of 1×10⁵ cells/mL. Ninety-six-well plate was seeded (10,000 cells/well) and incubated in the CO_2 incubator.

Cells were treated (In triplicate) with different concentrations of the test samples after 24 h against control. Cyclophosphamide that has been frequently used for the treatment of melanoma cancer, was used as a standard chemotherapeutic drug.

Isolation of lymphocytes

Defibrinated blood (2 mL) and Ficoll-Paque PLUS (2.5 mL) was mixed and centrifuged for 30 min and the upper layer was removed leaving the lymphocyte layer undisturbed at the interface. The lymphocyte layer was transferred to a clean tube and further centrifuged for 30 min. The balanced salt solution was added and centrifuged again. The supernatant was discarded and suspension of the lymphocytes was mixed with RPMI-1640 medium. Few drops of PHA (mitogen) were added and incubated for 24 h in a CO_2 incubator.

Cell viability and anti-melanoma bioefficacy (MTT & SRB bioassay)

In *vitro* cell viability and anti-melanoma bioefficacy of fabricated (F@AuNp) were examined against the lymphocytes and two melanoma (mice; B16F10 and human; A375) cell lines respectively using standard procedures [26, 27].

Intracellular reactive oxygen species

Mice melanoma cell lines were treated with (20 μ L) of experimentally measured IC₅₀ of F@AuNp (13.19 μ g/mL) and incubated for 24 h. Cells were washed with fresh media and incubated with 2, 7 di-chloro dihydro fluorescein diacetate (1 μ g/mL) for 30 min at 37 °C. DCF fluorescence was used to determine the excitation at 485 nm and emission at 520 nm using a cary eclipse fluorescence spectrophotometer [28].

Nitric oxide release assay

 50μ L/well of serum was treated with 20μ L of experimentally measured IC₅₀ of F@AuNp (13.19 μ g/mL) and incubated for 24 h. Griess reagent (100 μ L) was added and incubated at room temperature for 10 min. Absorbance was recorded at 550 nm against the calibration curve of sodium nitrite. The level of nitric oxide was expressed as μ M/mg protein [29].

Caspase-3 activity



Figure 1. HPLC-ESI-QTOF-MS chromatogram depicting the presence of flavonoids





Figure 2. Mass fragmentation mode of flavonoids with their fragmentation pattern (m/z).

Caspase-3 activity in melanoma cells was assessed using a colorimetric caspase-3 assay kit [30]. Mice melanoma cells (2×10⁵/well) were seeded in 96-well plates, treated with different concentrations of F@AuNp (0, 25, 50, 75 and 100 µg/mL) and PBS-DMEM (10%). Caspase-3 levels was measured using ELISA readers at λ_{max} 450 nm and the desired activity was calculated as fold increase over control sample.

Statistical analysis

All the experiments were performed in triplicate and the results were presented as mean \pm standard deviation. Statistical analysis was performed using one way-ANOVA (analysis of variance between the groups and within the group) followed by Tukey's test at (p<0.05) significance level.

Results and Discussion

HPLC-ESI-QTOF-MS profile

The use of various parts of the plant *M. longifolia* as popular folk medicine, particularly for skin related issues, implies the presence of important secondary metabolites in the plant [31, 32]. Among the secondary metabolites, polyphenolic flavonoids play important role in the antioxidant and in turn anti-cancer bioefficacy [33–36], which motivated us to explore the presence of flavonoids in the native bark extract of the plant *M. longifolia* in which the detailed phytochemical analysis is lacking.

HPLC-ESI-QTOF chromatogram (Figure 1) ascertained the presence of a family of following flavonoids. Myricetin 3-O-galactoside; m/z 481.09 (5), myricetin 3-O-arabinoside; m/z 451.08 (6), myricetin; m/z 319.04 (8), quercetin; m/z 303.05 (11), 3, 6 dihydroxyflavone; m/z 255.06 (15), dihydroquercetin; m/z 301.21 (23) and di-hexosyl-quercetin; m/z 621.31 (32) at retention time, 4.8 min, 4.9 min, 7.6 min, 10.1 min, 13.9 and 23.6 min, respectively. Other notable peaks of compounds like ascorbic acid m/z 176.12 (16), retinoic acid m/z 300.43 (17), stigma sterol m/z 413.39 (18),

lucein m/z 131.17 (19), oleanolic acid m/z 457.36 (24), palmitic acid m/z 257.24 (27) were also found in addition to some unidentified peaks. Fragmentation pattern (count vs m/z) of each identified flavonoid has been summarized in (Figure 2).

The strong synergistic reduction potential of a family of flavonoids (–OH groups), isolated from the bark of the plant *M. longifolia* was used for the biofabrication of F@AuNp. During fabrication, flavonoidal compounds undergo keto-enol form conversion, which led to the reduction of AuCl⁴⁻ ion to Au⁰ metal. Based on the ability of flavonoids forming a complex with metal ions [37], a tentative mechanism for the reduction of Au⁺³ by the flavonoids may be proposed (Scheme 1). The normal complexation sites are 3' & 4' carbon position of the basic skeleton of flavonoids. Complexation at 3 and 4 -oxo group are also possible, discarding the possibility of complexation between 4-oxo and 5-carbon atom. Further, the existence of possible steric hindrance of any complexation between 4-oxo and -OH group at 3-carbon atom can make the molecule unstable.

Characterization of F@AuNp

The biofabricated F@AuNp was evaluated using different analytical techniques, as depicted in Figure 3 and 4, and summarized in Table 1. Depending upon the size and shape of nanoparticles, UV-vis spectra (Figure 3a) at characteristic surface plasma resonance band at λ_{max} =542 nm confirmed the formation of F@AuNp. X-ray diffraction pattern (Figure 3b) established lattice plane (111) as the most reactive (high atom density) transcendent crystallographic plane. Presence of some unassigned peaks can be ascribed to the crystallization of bioorganic phase [38]. Three dimensional (Figure 3c) and two-dimensional (Figure 3d) AFM images of F@AuNp were indicative of significant roughness of the surface. FE-SEM images of F@AuNp (Figure 3e) depicted mono layered well-dispersed shape, which facilitated the loading of secondary metabolites onto the particles.

TEM images (Figure 3f) showed the polydispersed size of the particles in a narrow range of 12.20-30.28 nm. Light-coloured shade around the F@AuNp appeared in TEM image, was the indication of the coating of secondary metabolites (Flavonoids) on the nanoparticles. The measurement of zeta size (Figure 4a) revealed that the majority of particles lie in the range of 10-100 nm with an average size of 39.76 nm. Zeta potential (Figure 4b) confirmed the presence of high negative charge on the surface of the nanoparticles. The fact explained the repulsive forces among the particles keeping them in the nano state in the liquid phase, enhancing their stability. Finally, formation of F@AuNp was confirmed by the EDX elemental analysis (Figure 4c), exhibiting the presence of strong signals of Au. Other peaks of C (1.45 KeV), O (8.12 KeV), and N (1.23 KeV) might have originated from the biomolecules. The peak of Cu (1.42 KeV), might be an artefact of the Cu grid on which sample was coated.









Figure 3. F@AuNp a) UV-vis spectra, b) XRD pattern, c & d) AFM image, e) SEM micrograph, and f) TEM image



Figure 4. F@AuNp a) Zeta size, b) Zeta potential and c) EDX spectra

Cell viability and anti-melanoma activity

The native bark extract and F@AuNp did not show any noticeable cytotoxicity (Figure 5) towards the normal lymphocytes cells at the doses selected for the assessment of anti-melanoma bioefficacy. A significant correlation ($R^2 = 0.972$) was observed in percent inhibition and different doses of native

| Techniques | Observations | Findings | | | |
|---------------------|---|--------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| UV-vis ^a | $\lambda_{\rm max}$ = 542 nm | Formation of F@AuNp | | | |
| DLS ^b | Asymmetric distribution in the | Average size 39.76 nm with poly | | | |
| (Zeta Size) | range (11.23 to 156.9 nm) | dispersive index (0.238) | | | |
| DLS | Presence of negative charge | Zeta potential (-20.7) mV | | | |
| (Zeta potential) | | | | | |
| XRDc | Diffraction peaks at positions | Indexed to (111), (200) and (220) | | | |
| | of (2 $	heta$) at 38.2°, 44.5° and 64.7° | having lattice face-centered cubic | | | |
| FE-SEM ^d | Cubic, triangular, and | Polydispersed layer | | | |
| | rectangular morphology | | | | |
| TEM ^e | Particles diameter | Particle size range 12.20 - 30.28 nm | | | |
| AFM ^f | The surface of nanoparticles | The average roughness of 14.18 nm | | | |
| EDX ^g | Peaks of Au at 1.30, 2.30, and | Formation of Au nanoparticles along | | | |
| | 9.80 KeV | with others elements C, O, N and Cu | | | |

Table 1. The salient feature of the characterization of F@AuNp.

^a UV-vis: Ultra violet-visible spectroscopy

^b DLS: Dynamic light scattering

^cXRD: X-Rays Diffraction

^d FE-SEM: Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscopy

^e TEM: Transmission electron microscopy

^fAFM: Atomic Force Microscopy

^g EDX: Energy Dispersive X-rays

bark extract and F@AuNp for their cytotoxic potential against melanoma cell lines. This finding was noteworthy and revealed that the use of the native bark extract and F@AuNp would be safe and non-toxic towards normal lymphocytes cells. All the five groups were evaluated for their ability to inhibit the growth of mice melanoma (B16F10) and human melanoma (A375) cancer cell lines. Anti-melanoma activity (In terms of percentage inhibition) was measured using the MTT and SRB bioassays (Table 2).

MTT bioassay that is used for the anti-proliferative assay [39] was considered for discussion. In general, enhancement in the percentage inhibition with increasing doses was observed in all the groups. Based on the maximum percent inhibition, the doses of the native bark extract, flavonoidal content, and F@AuNp were optimized as (65.31; 50 μ g/mL, 75.14; 15 μ g/mL, and 85.15; 15 μ g/mL), respectively. The increased percentage inhibition (75.14 at 15 μ g/mL) compared to native bark

extract (65.31 at 50 µg/mL) signified the role of flavonoids as the possible bioactive component of the native bark extract of the plant *M. longifolia.* In addition, the bioactive active principle (Flavonoids) loaded gold nanoparticles (F@AuNp) exhibited an additional increase (10.01%) in antimelanoma bioefficacy (85.15%) compared to only flavonoid content. This supports the school of thoughts that bioactive principle loaded nanoparticles impart significant enhancement in the bioefficacy [40, 41]. The biocompatibility, astonishing optical properties, high surface area to volume ratio (Nano sizing), and coating of medicinally important flavonoids on nanoparticles may be ascribed to the significant enhancement in anti-melanoma bioefficacy. The oxidized flavonoids (Quinone moiety) being electron deficient may impart free radical scavenging ability thus adding extra antioxidant properties. Resulting increased permeability in the cell membrane finally enhances deep penetration and drug uptake in tissues. The increased drug accumulation in the cancerous cell could largely inhibit the proliferation of the cancerous cell.

| Table 2. In vitro p | ercent inhibition | in MTT, | and SRB | bioassays | on mice | melanoma(| B16F10) | and |
|---------------------|-------------------|---------|---------|-----------|---------|-----------|---------|-----|
| human melanoma (| (A375) cell line | | | | | | | |

| Groups | % Inhibition (B16F10) | | % Inhibition (A375) | |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|---------------------|-------------|
| | MTT | SRB | MTT | SRB |
| Control (Gp1) | 1.25 ± 0.45 | 1.89 ± 0.97 | 1.25 ± 0.45 | 1.89±0.97 |
| Std. Drug (μg/mL) (Gp2) | | | | |
| 10 | 67.36*±1.11 | 63.54*±1.56 | 65.86*±1.12 | 65.45*±1.35 |
| 15 | 94.31*±1.21 | 92.42*±1.54 | 95.34*±1.24 | 93.24*±1.44 |
| 20 | 97.13*±1.01 | 94.67*±1.48 | 97.23*±1.04 | 94.89*±1.08 |
| Native bark extract (µg/mL) (Gp3) | | | | |
| 25 | 49.39*±1.10 | 46.34*±1.31 | 51.01*±1.01 | 48.04*±1.23 |
| 50 | 65.31*±1.12 | 62.14*±1.14 | 66.74*±0.99 | 63.54*±1.01 |
| 100 | 66.92*±1.25 | 63.34*±1.15 | 67.22*±1.05 | 64.34*±1.16 |
| Flavonoidal content (µg/mL)(Gp4) | | | | |
| 10 | 63.12*±1.21 | 61.32*±1.32 | 64.45*±1.12 | 62.45*±1.10 |
| 15 | 75.14*±1.22 | 72.37*±1.45 | 76.34*±1.03 | 74.61*±1.16 |
| 20 | 77.42*±1.10 | 75.39*±1.14 | 78.31*±1.01 | 76.15*±1.03 |
| F@AuNp (µg/mL) (Gp5) | | | | |
| 10 | 72.51*±1.12 | 70.32*±1.41 | 74.56*±1.41 | 72.42*±1.34 |
| 15 | 85.15*±1.21 | 81.39*±1.19 | 86.34*±1.21 | 83.43*±1.23 |
| 20 | 86.03*±1.51 | 84.12*±1.15 | 87.12*±1.05 | 85.67*±1.48 |

The induced cellular apoptosis was supported by our experimental measurements on reactive oxygen species, nitric oxide production, and caspase-3 activity. ROS, depending on concentration, may discharge a dual role in living systems. The moderate concentration of ROS can promote cell proliferation, while excessive amount results into apoptosis *via* oxidative damage to lipids, proteins, and DNA. Therefore, maintenance of ROS homoeostasis becomes essential for the normal cell growth and survival [42]. The elevation in intracellular reactive oxygen species (57.7%) in F@AuNp treated cells is significantly (p<0.05) higher compared with that of the control. Enhanced production of serum NO level (68.34%) against control (Figure 6) in the case of F@AuNp treatment, is the indication of the elevation of ROS level. Nitric oxide reacts with superoxide to form toxic peroxynitrite (ONOO⁻) anion, which imparts anti-tumour activity [43]. Therefore, F@AuNp exposure might be attributed to the contribution of oxidative attack for cell death. Increase in caspase-3 activity (Figure 7a) with the rise in the concentration of F@AuNp was observed in a dose dependent manner, which is responsible for the quick cell wall disruption, shrinking, and rupturing of the cell.



Figure 5. Cytotoxic potential of native bark extract and F@AuNp against mice melanoma (B16F10) human melanoma (A375) and lymphocytes cell lines







Figure 7. a) Dependence of F@AuNp on Caspase -3 activity, b) Control and, c) induced cellular change

Conclusion

This research study explores the significant anti-melanoma bioefficacy (65.15%) in the native bark ethanolic extract of *Madhuca longifolia*. The excellent enhancement in the target anti-melanoma bioefficacy (85.15%) was obtained using the bioactive principle loaded-gold nanoparticles (F@AuNp), reaching nearby to the level of reference drug cyclophosphamide (94.31%). The presence of a family of seven flavonoids in the native bark extract of the plant *M. longifolia* was ascertained. The native bark extract and its F@AuNp were found to be non-toxic towards the normal lymphocytes. Bioactive component-loaded nanoparticles have valuable future in the controlled drug deliverytechnologies, providing maximum therapeutic efficacy and safety. The presence of promising anti-melanoma bioefficacy of F@AuNp prepared from the native bark extract of the plant *M. longifolia* may open a window for the development of effective herbal nano-medicine for treatment of the melanoma cancer.

Disclosure Statement

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the authors.

References

- [1]. Siegel R.L., Miller K.M., Jemal A. Cancer J. Clin., 2017, 67:7
- [2]. Fitzmaurice C., Akinyemiju T.F., Lami Al., Rawaf F.H., Rawaf D.L. JAMA Oncol., 2018, 4:1553
- [3]. Panda S. Indian J. Dermatol., 2010, 55:373
- [4]. Feller L., Khammissa R.A.G., Kramer B., Altini M., Lemmer J. Head Face Med., 2016, 12:11
- [5]. Chen J., Shao R., Zhang X. D., Chen C. Int. J. Nanomedicine., 2013, 8:2677
- [6]. Chidambaram M., Manavalan R., Kathiresan K. J. Pharm. Pharm. Sci., 2011, 14:67
- [7]. Lin G., Mi P., Chu C., Zhang J., Liu G. Adv. Sci., 2016, 3:1
- [8]. Khoobchandani M., Ganesh N., Gabbanini S., Valgimigli L., Srivastava M.M. *Fitoterapia*, 2011, **82:**647
- [9]. Kalpna D.R., Mital J.K., Sumitra V.C. Academic Press of Elsevier, UK, 2013
- [10]. Ahirwar R.P., Tripathi J., Singh R. Int. J. Appl. Res., 2017, 3:818
- [11]. Cencic A., Chingwaru W. Nutrients, 2010, 2:611
- [12]. Cragg G.M., Newman D.J. J. Ethnopharmacol., 2005, 100:72
- [13]. Nobili A., Garattini S., Mannucci P.M. JOC., 2011, 1:28
- [14]. Kundu P., Das M., Kalpalata Tripathy K., Sahoo S.K. ACS Chem. Neurosci., 2016, 7:1658
- [15]. Bi D., Zhao L., Yu R., Li H., Guo Y., Wang X., Han M. Drug Delivery, 2018, 25:564
- [16]. Ahmed S., Ahmad M., Swami B.L., Ikram S. J. Adv. Res., 2016, 7:17
- [17]. Wink M. Medicine., 2015, 2:251
- [18]. Kuppusamy P., Yusoff M.M., Maniam G.P., Govindan N. Saudi Pharm. J., 2016, 24:473
- [19]. Sharma M., Yadav S., Srivastava M., Ganesh N., Srivastava S. Asian J. Nanosci. Mater., 2018, 1:244
- [20]. He X., Hwang H.M. J. Food Drug Anal., 2016, 24:671
- [21]. Bonifacio B.V., Silva P.B., Ramos M.A., Negri K.M., Bauab T.M., Chorilli M. Int. J. Nanomedicine., 2014, 9:1
- [22]. Zhang M., Viennios E., Xu C., Merlin D. Tissue Barriers., 2016, 4:e1134415
- [23]. Gaikwad R.D., Ahmed L., Khalid S., Swamy P. Pharma. Biol., 2009, 47:592
- [24]. Akshatha K.N., Murthy S.M., Lakshmidevi N. IJLPR., 2013, 3:44
- [25]. Jha D., Mazumder P.M. Asian Pacific J. Trop. Med., 2018, 11:9
- [26]. Skenhan P., Storeng R., Scudiero D. J. Natl. Cancer Inst., 1990, 82:1107
- [27]. Orellana E.A., Kasinski A.L. Bio Protoc., 2016, 6:21
- [28]. Roy A., Ganguly A., BoseDasgupta S., Das B.B., Pal C., Jaisankar P., Majumder H.K. *Mol. Pharm.*, 2008, **74:**1292
- [29]. Mahapatra S.K., Chakaraborty S.P., Das S., Roy S. Oxid. Med. Cell Longev., 2009, 2:222

[30]. Mollick M.M.R., Bhowmick B., Mondal D., Maity D., Rana D., Dash S.K., Chattopadhyay S., Roy S.,

- Sarkar J., Acharya K., Chakarborty M., Chattopadhyay D. RSC Adv., 2014, 4:37838
- [31]. Patil S.A., Patil D.A. Nat. Prod. Rad., 2007, 6:2
- [32]. Akshatha K.N., Murthy S.M., Lakshmidevi N. IJLPR., 2013, 3:44
- [33]. Wojdylo A., Oszmianski J., Czemerys R. Food Chem., 2007, 105:940
- [34]. Zhang Y.J., Gan R.Y., Li S., Zhou Y., Li A.N., Xu D.P., Li H.B. Molecules, 2015, 20:1
- [35]. Madhe S., Bansal P., Srivastava M.M. Appl Nanosci., 2014, 4:153
- [36]. Kasote D.M., Katyare S.S., Hegde M.V., Bae H. Int. J. Biol. Sci., 2015, 11:982
- [37]. Osonga F.J., Yazgan I., Kariuki V., Luther D., Jimenez A., Le P., Sadik O.A. RSC Adv., 2016, 6:2302
- [38]. Kumar B., Smita K., Cumbal L., Camacho J., Hernández-Gallegos E., Chavez-Lopez M.D.G., Grijalva
- M., Andrade K. Mater. Sci. Eng. C., 2016, 62:725
- [39]. Haselsberger K., Peterson D.C., Thomas D.G., Darling J.L. Anticancer Drugs., 1996, 7:331
- [40]. Grigalius I., Petrikaite V. Molecules, 2017, 22:1
- [41]. Ballesta M.C.M., Izquierdo A.G., Viguera C.G., Perles R.D. Foods, 2018, 7:2
- [42]. Kim J., Kim J., Bae J.S. Exp. Mol. Med., 2016, 48:1
- [43]. Habib S., Ali A. Indian J. Clin. Biochem., 2011, 26:3

How to cite this manuscript: Saurabh Yadav, Mukti Sharma, Narayan Ganesh, Shalini Srivastava, Man Mohan Srivastava^{*}. Bioactive principle loaded gold nanoparticles as potent anti-melanoma agent: green synthesis, characterization, and in *vitro* bioefficacy. *Asian Journal of Green Chemistry*, 3(4) 2019, 492-507. DOI: 10.33945/SAMI/AJGC.2019.4.6